

Satisfiability Checking

Gröbner bases

Prof. Dr. Erika Ábrahám

RWTH Aachen University
Informatik 2
LuFG Theory of Hybrid Systems

WS 14/15

For simplicity, we restrict to equations.

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

A solution is

For simplicity, we restrict to equations.

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

A solution is *a common root for all p_i* .

For simplicity, we restrict to equations.

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

A solution is *a common root for all p_i* .

This is a well-studied problem in algebra!

One approach: Gröbner bases.

Common roots = Varieties

Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{V}(P)$ be the set of common real roots:

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \bigcap_{p \in P} \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$$

Common roots = Varieties

Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{V}(P)$ be the set of common real roots:

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \bigcap_{p \in P} \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$$

Observation

Let $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 + p_2\}) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 \cdot q\})$$

Common roots = Varieties

Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{V}(P)$ be the set of common real roots:

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \bigcap_{p \in P} \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$$

Observation

Let $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 + p_2\}) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 \cdot q\})$$

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 - q \cdot p_2\}) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 \bmod p_2\})$$

Common roots = Varieties

Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{V}(P)$ be the set of common real roots:

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \bigcap_{p \in P} \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$$

Observation

Let $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 + p_2\}) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 \cdot q\})$$

$$\mathcal{V}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 - q \cdot p_2\}) = \mathcal{V}(P \cup \{p_1 \bmod p_2\})$$

We can **simplify** polynomials, maintaining \mathcal{V} !

Analogon: Euclidean algorithm

$\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is just a ring like \mathbb{Z} .

Analogon: Euclidean algorithm

$\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is just a ring like \mathbb{Z} .

Euclidean algorithm

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

while $b \neq 0$

$tmp := b$

$b := a \bmod b$

$a := tmp$

return a

Analogon: Euclidean algorithm

$\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is just a ring like \mathbb{Z} .

Euclidean algorithm

```
 $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$   
while  $b \neq 0$   
     $tmp := b$   
     $b := a \bmod b$   
     $a := tmp$   
return  $a$ 
```

Generalized version

```
 $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$   
do  
     $A' := A$   
    foreach  $a, b \in A'$   
        if  $a \bmod b \neq 0$   
             $A := A \cup \{a \bmod b\}$   
until  $A = A'$   
return  $\min A$ 
```

Going back to polynomials:

Generalized version

$A \subset \mathbb{Z}$

do

$A' := A$

foreach $a, b \in A'$

if $a \bmod b \neq 0$

$A := A \cup \{a \bmod b\}$

until $A = A'$

return $\min A$

Buchberger algorithm

Going back to polynomials:

Buchberger algorithm

```
 $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$   
do  
   $P' := P$   
  foreach  $p, q \in P'$   
    if  $p \bmod q \neq 0$   
       $P := P \cup \{p \bmod q\}$   
until  $P = P'$   
return  $\min P$ 
```

Generalized version

```
 $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$   
do  
   $A' := A$   
  foreach  $a, b \in A'$   
    if  $a \bmod b \neq 0$   
       $A := A \cup \{a \bmod b\}$   
until  $A = A'$   
return  $\min A$ 
```

Buchberger algorithm

Going back to polynomials:

Buchberger algorithm

```
 $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$   
do  
   $P' := P$   
  foreach  $p, q \in P'$   
    if  $p \bmod q \neq 0$   
       $P := P \cup \{p \bmod q\}$   
until  $P = P'$   
return  $\min P$ 
```

Optimizations

- Normalize polynomials,
- Remove polynomials if factors have been found,

Euclidean algorithm yields:

Euclidean algorithm yields: GCD, i.e. largest **common factor** of all numbers.

Result

Euclidean algorithm yields: GCD, i.e. largest **common factor** of all numbers.
Buchbergers algorithm yields the largest **common factor** of all polynomials.

Result

Euclidean algorithm yields: GCD, i.e. largest **common factor** of all numbers.
Buchbergers algorithm yields the largest **common factor** of all polynomials.

A **root** r of a polynomial $p(x)$ corresponds to the linear factor $(x - r)$.
If this one has **no roots**, the polynomials have **no common roots**.

Result

Euclidean algorithm yields: GCD, i.e. largest **common factor** of all numbers.
Buchbergers algorithm yields the largest **common factor** of all polynomials.

A **root** r of a polynomial $p(x)$ corresponds to the linear factor $(x - r)$.
If this one has **no roots**, the polynomials have **no common roots**.

Formally:

Theorem (Hilbert's weak Nullstellensatz)

Let I be an ideal in $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, then

$$1 \in I \Leftrightarrow \bigcap_{p \in I} \text{roots}_K(p) = \emptyset$$

Buchbergers algorithm *partially expands* I to check if $1 \in I$.

That's it?

Unfortunately, K must be *algebraically closed*, i.e. $K \neq \mathbb{R}$, $K = \mathbb{C}$.

That's it?

Unfortunately, K must be *algebraically closed*, i.e. $K \neq \mathbb{R}$, $K = \mathbb{C}$.

$$\text{roots}_{\mathbb{C}}(P) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P) = \emptyset$$

That's it?

Unfortunately, K must be *algebraically closed*, i.e. $K \neq \mathbb{R}$, $K = \mathbb{C}$.

$$\text{roots}_{\mathbb{C}}(P) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P) = \emptyset$$

This method gives us no possibility to **construct the actual solution!**
(If $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is **finite**, we can do this.)

That's it?

Unfortunately, K must be *algebraically closed*, i.e. $K \neq \mathbb{R}$, $K = \mathbb{C}$.


$$\text{roots}_{\mathbb{C}}(P) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{roots}_{\mathbb{R}}(P) = \mathcal{V}(P) = \emptyset$$

This method gives us no possibility to **construct the actual solution!**
(If $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is **finite**, we can do this.)

\Rightarrow We can determine **unsatisfiability**, not **satisfiability**.

Example - Graphical

$$x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0 \wedge x - y + 1 = 0$$



Common roots: $(-1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1$$
$$\}$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$\}$$

Example - Algorithm

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$

$$p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1$$

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$\}$$

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = 2y^2 - 2y,$$
$$p_4 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$\}$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_1 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = 2y^2 - 2y,$$
$$p_4 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$\}$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$

$$p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$

$$p_2 \bmod p_3 = x - y + 1$$

$$p_3 \bmod p_1 = 2y^2 - 2y$$

$$p_3 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = y^2 - y,$$
$$p_4 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$\}$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_1 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_3 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_1 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_1 \bmod p_4 = y^2 - y$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_4 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_4 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_1 = -y^2 + y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_2 = y^2 - y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \{$$
$$p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1,$$
$$p_2 = x - y + 1,$$
$$p_3 = y^2 - y,$$
$$p_4 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$\}$$

$$p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_1 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_3 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_1 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_1 \bmod p_4 = y^2 - y$$
$$p_2 \bmod p_4 = x - y + 1$$
$$p_3 \bmod p_4 = 2y^2 - 2y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_1 = -y^2 + y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_2 = y^2 - y$$
$$p_4 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1$$

$1 \notin P$, hence no result in general.

Example - Algorithm

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1, \\ p_2 = x - y + 1, \\ p_3 = y^2 - y, \\ p_4 = x^2 + y - 1 \\ \} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{array}{l} p_1 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y \\ p_2 \bmod p_1 = x - y + 1 \\ p_1 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1 \\ p_2 \bmod p_3 = x - y + 1 \\ p_3 \bmod p_1 = 2y^2 - 2y \\ p_3 \bmod p_2 = 2y^2 - 2y \\ p_1 \bmod p_4 = y^2 - y \\ p_2 \bmod p_4 = x - y + 1 \\ p_3 \bmod p_4 = 2y^2 - 2y \\ p_4 \bmod p_1 = -y^2 + y \\ p_4 \bmod p_2 = y^2 - y \\ p_4 \bmod p_3 = x^2 + y - 1 \end{array}$$

$1 \notin P$, hence no result in general.

With $y^2 - y = 0$ and $x - y + 1 = 0$ we can obtain $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$.


Example 1 – Finitely many common roots in \mathbb{R}

$$x^2 \cdot y^2 - 1 = 0 \wedge x^2 - y = 0$$

The Gröbner basis is:

$$P = \{x^2 - y, y^3 - 1\}$$

$1 \notin P$, hence there exists a solution in \mathbb{C} . The number of roots is finite, hence we can use the basis to obtain the solutions $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$ which are in \mathbb{R}^2 .




Example 2 – Infinitely many common roots in \mathbb{R}

$$x^2y - x^2 + y^3 - y^2 - y + 1 = 0 \wedge x^3 - x^2 + xy^2 - y - y^2 + 1 = 0$$

The Gröbner basis is:

$$P = \{p_1, p_2\}$$

$1 \notin P$, hence there exists a solution in \mathbb{C}^2 . The number of roots is infinite, hence there is no general way to obtain the actual solutions.




Example 3 – Common roots in \mathbb{C}

$$x^2 + 1 = 0 \wedge x^3 + x = 0$$

The Gröbner basis is:

$$P = \{x^2 + 1\}$$

$1 \notin P$, hence there exists a solution, i.e. a common root, in \mathbb{C} . However, this solution is in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.



Extra: Handling inequalities

We only handled $=$. What about $\leq, \geq, <, >, \neq$?

We only handled $=$. What about $\leq, \geq, <, >, \neq$?

$$p(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x) + y^2 = 0$$

$$p(x) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x) - y^2 = 0$$

$$p(x) < 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x) \cdot y^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$p(x) > 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x) \cdot y^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$p(x) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x) \cdot y - 1 = 0$$

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, that is:

- $+$ is associative,
- $+$ is commutative,
- there is an additive identity 0 ,
- there is an additive inverse $-a$ for every $a \in R$,
- \cdot is associative,
- there is a multiplicative identity 1 ,
- addition and multiplication distribute.

Examples:

- \mathbb{Z} (\cdot is commutative)
- \mathbb{R} (is a field)
- $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (polynomial ring over field K)
- Our application: $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Ideal: A subset of a ring, that is *closed* under $+$ and *absorbs* \cdot .

Formally:

- $I \subseteq R$,
- $(I, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$, that is $0 \in I$, $-a \in I$ for all $a \in I$,
 $a + b \in I$ for all $a, b \in I$,
- $a \cdot b \in I$ for all $a \in I, b \in R$,
- $a \cdot b \in I$ for all $a \in R, b \in I$,

Examples:

- R is an ideal of R
- Even integers: $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$
- All polynomials divisible by $x^2 + 1$: $R = \mathbb{R}[x]$, $I = R/(x^2 + 1)$