

# Satisfiability Checking

## Non-linear real arithmetic

Prof. Dr. Erika Ábrahám

RWTH Aachen University  
Informatik 2  
LuFG Theory of Hybrid Systems

WS 14/15

Domain	+	+, ·
Reals $\mathbb{R}$	linear real arithmetic <b>decidable</b> (Fourier-Motzkin, Simplex)	non-linear real arithmetic <b>decidable</b>
Integers $\mathbb{Z}$	linear integer arithmetic <b>decidable</b> (Branch-and-bound, Gomory cuts, Omega test)	non-linear integer arithmetic <b>undecidable</b>

# Non-linear real arithmetic (NRA)

**Real algebra** is the first-order theory  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  over the reals with addition and multiplication.

## Syntax of real algebra

Terms:	$t ::= 0$		$1$		$x$		$t + t$		$t \cdot t$
Constraints:	$c ::= t < t$								
Formulas:	$\varphi ::= c$		$\neg\varphi$		$\varphi \wedge \varphi$		$\exists x. \varphi$		

where  $x$  is a variable.

- Syntactic sugar for constraints:  $t_1 \leq t_2$ ,  $t_1 = t_2$ ,  $t_1 \neq t_2$ .
- $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the set of all polynomials over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .  
What is the difference between  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ ?
- The semantics is standard.
- Real algebra is often called **non-linear real arithmetic (NRA)**.
- We consider the **satisfiability problem for the quantifier-free fragment QFNRA** (equivalently, we consider the existential fragment, i.e., no negation of expressions containing quantifiers).

# What is new?

- We can already check QFLRA (quantifier-free **linear** real arithmetic) formulas. Example:

$$\exists x. \exists y. x + 2y > 10 \wedge x \geq y \wedge (x < 0 \vee 2y > x)$$

- Now we might have also **non-linear** constraints in the formulas. Example:

$$\exists x. \exists y. (x^2 - 4x^3y^2 > 0 \wedge x - y = 1)$$

- **Monomial**: product of variables (the empty product represents the constant 1).
- **Term**: product of an integer **coefficient** and a monomial.
- **Canonical form** of polynomial constraints:  $p \sim 0$ ,  $\sim \in \{<, \leq, =, \geq, >\}$ ,  $p$  is a sum of terms (i.e., a linear combination of monomials).
- A polynomial in one variable is called **univariate**, polynomials in more than one variables are called **multivariate**.
- The **degree** of a polynomial is the highest degree of its monomials, when expressed in canonical form. The degree of a monomial is the sum of the exponents of the variables that appear in it. The word degree is now standard, but in some older books, the word **order** may be used instead.

## Theorem (Alfred Tarski 1948)

*The FO theory of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  is decidable.*

- Tarski's proof was constructive, i.e., it defined a decision procedure.
- However, its time-complexity in the number of variables was non-elementary ("greater than all finite towers of powers of 2").

# Real algebra: Some historic facts

- 1637 Descartes' rule of signs
- 1835 Jaques Charles François Sturm's theorem
- 1948 Alfred Tarski's "A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry"
- 1975 Cylindrical algebraic decomposition (CAD) method by George E. Collins
- 1979–80 First implementation of the CAD method by Dennis S. Arnon
- 1988 Virtual substitution by Volker Weispfenning
- 1990 First implementation of virtual substitution (Klaus-Dieter Burhenne)
- 1993 Gröbner bases approach by P. Pedersen, M.-F. Roy, A. Szpirglas, later extended by V. Weispfenning
- 1994 Implementation of the Gröbner bases approach (Andreas Dolzmann)

## Virtual substitution

- Computer logic system Redlog (package of Reduce)

## Cylindrical algebraic decomposition

- QEPCAD, Redlog, ...

## Gröbner bases

- Maple, Mathematica, Singular, Maxima, CoCoA, Reduce, ...

## Other methods

- Interval arithmetic (Ariadne or iSAT)



# The idea of quantifier elimination

Given: FO sentence  $\varphi$  over  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  containing  $n$  quantifiers

- 1 Transform  $\varphi$  into prenex normal form:

$$\varphi \equiv Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \varphi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\varphi_n$  is a quantifier-free NRA formula with variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

- 2 Eliminate iteratively the quantifiers  $Q_n \dots Q_1$  and thus the quantified variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\equiv Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_{n-1} x_{n-1} Q_n x_n \varphi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\equiv Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_{n-1} x_{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\ &\dots \\ &\equiv Q_1 x_1 \varphi_1(x_1) \\ &\equiv \varphi_0() \end{aligned}$$

# Removing universal quantification

Is it sufficient to eliminate existential quantifiers?

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x_1. \exists x_2. \forall x_3. \exists x_4. \forall x_5. \forall x_6. \exists x_7. \exists x_8. \varphi' \\ \equiv & \exists x_1. \exists x_2. \neg(\exists x_3. \neg(\exists x_4. \neg(\exists x_5. \neg(\neg(\exists x_6. \neg(\exists x_7. \exists x_8. \varphi' )))))))) \\ \equiv & \exists x_1. \exists x_2. \neg(\exists x_3. \neg(\exists x_4. \neg(\exists x_5. \exists x_6. \neg(\exists x_7. \exists x_8. \varphi' ))))) \end{aligned}$$

But: **increased complexity**

Is it sufficient to handle equations?

$$\begin{aligned} p \geq 0 &\equiv \exists \epsilon. p - \epsilon^2 = 0 \\ p \leq 0 &\equiv \exists \epsilon. p + \epsilon^2 = 0 \\ p > 0 &\equiv \exists \epsilon. 1 - p \cdot \epsilon^2 = 0 \\ p < 0 &\equiv \exists \epsilon. 1 + p \cdot \epsilon^2 = 0 \\ p \neq 0 &\equiv \neg(p = 0) \end{aligned}$$

But: increased complexity

# Existential quantifier elimination: Finite abstraction

- Given:  $\varphi = \exists x_1. \dots \exists x_n. \varphi_n$ , where  $\varphi_n$  is a quantifier-free FO sentence over  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$
- Problem:  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountably infinite.

- Idea: Find a finite set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\exists x_1. \dots \exists x_n. \varphi_n \Leftrightarrow \exists x_1. \dots \exists x_{n-1}. \bigvee_{t \in T} \varphi_n[t/x_n]$$

- Each univariate polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $d$  has at most  $d$  **real roots** (multivariate polynomials are seen as univariate ones with polynomial coefficients).

The sign of  $p$  is invariant between each two successive real roots.

This implies that  $\mathbb{R}$  can be partitioned into at most  $2d + 1$  **sign invariant** regions for  $p$ .

$T$  consists of a **test (sample) point** from each sign-invariant region.

- What remains: **Determine the zeros** of polynomials.

# Some interesting decision procedures for NRA

We will have a look at the following satisfiability checking methods for (fragments of) NRA:

- Virtual substitution (VS)
- Cylindrical algebraic decomposition (CAD)
- Gröbner bases (GB)
- Interval constraint propagation (ICP)